## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL			
v. Jeffery Eugene Roetzel	Casa Na. 4:42 at 00064 DUD			
Defendant	Case No. 1:12-cr-00061-RHB			
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail that the defendant be detained pending trial.	Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require			
	– Findings of Fact			
(1) The defendant is charged with an offense describ	ped in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of ase that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had			
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. which the prison term is 10 years or more.	§ 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for			
an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.				
an offense for which a maximum prison ter	m of ten years or more is prescribed in:			
a felony committed after the defendant had U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable s	.* I been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 state or local offenses.			
any felony that is not a crime of violence by a minor victim the possession or use of a fire a failure to register under 18 U	arm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon			
<del></del>	ed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state			
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since t offense described in finding (1).	period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the fense described in finding (1).			
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable propersion or the community. I further find that defer	esumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anotherndant has not rebutted that presumption.			
Altern	native Findings (A)			
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defen	ndant has committed an offense			
for which a maximum prison term of ten ye Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801				
under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c) (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance.	established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions ce and the safety of the community.			
	native Findings (B)			
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not	• •			
	langer the safety of another person or the community.			
	t of the Reasons for Detention			
I find that the testimony and information submitted evidence a preponderance of the evidence that:	at the detention hearing establishes by <u>✓</u> clear and convincing			
Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not to co	ontest detention pending trial.			
Part III – Direc	tions Regarding Detention			

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	March 15, 2012	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge